

APR 30 2007

PAGE 1/2 * RCVD AT 4/30/2007 10:35:36 AM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFAXRF-5/8 * DNS:2739078 * CSID:4105502090 * DURATION (mm:ss):00:44

Date - 4/30/07 - 10am

Page 1 of 2

*Faxed to -
571-273-9078*

For Helen Mei-Ping Chui - Examiner

Application No. 10/749,314 - Art Unit 1609
Applicant - McLane, Michael W.

Rule below that applies in place of 35 USC / 102(b) for Claims

35 U.S.C. 135 Interferences.

(a) Whenever an application is made for a patent which, in the opinion of the Director, would interfere with any pending application, or with any unexpired patent, an interference may be declared and the Director shall give notice of such declaration to the applicants, or applicant and patentee, as the case may be. The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences shall determine questions of priority of the inventions and may determine questions of patentability. Any final decision, if adverse to the claim of an applicant, shall constitute the final refusal by the Patent and Trademark Office of the claims involved, and the Director may issue a patent to the applicant who is adjudged the prior inventor. A final judgment adverse to a patentee from which no appeal or other review has been or can be taken or had shall constitute cancellation of the claims involved in the patent, and notice of such cancellation shall be endorsed on copies of the patent distributed after such cancellation by the Patent and Trademark Office.

(b)(1) A claim which is the same as, or for the same or substantially the same subject matter as, a claim of an issued patent may not be made in any application unless such a claim is made prior to one year from the date on which the patent was granted.

(2) A claim which is the same as, or for the same or substantially the same subject matter as, a claim of an application published under section 122(b) of this title may be made in an application filed after the application is published only if the claim is

Application No. 10/749,314 – Michael W. McLane

page 2 of 2

made before 1 year after the date on which the appli_ cation is published.

(c) Any agreement or understanding between parties to an interference, including any collateral agreements referred to therein, made in connection with or in contemplation of the termination of the interference, shall be in writing and a true copy thereof filed in the Patent and Trademark Office before the termination of the interference as between the said parties to the agreement or understanding. If any party filing the same so requests, the copy shall be kept separate from the file of the interference, and made available only to Government agencies on writ_ ten request, or to any person on a showing of good cause. Failure to file the copy of such agreement or understanding shall render permanently unenforce_ able such agreement or understanding and any patent of such parties involved in the interference or any patent subsequently issued on any application of such parties so involved. The Director may, however, on a showing of good cause for failure to file within the time prescribed, permit the filing of the agreement or understanding during the six-month period subse_ quent to the termination of the interference, as between the parties to the agreement or understand_ ing.

The Director shall give notice to the parties or their attorneys of record, a reasonable time prior to January 2007 L-30